

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/782,211	HAUSMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. S. Nakarani	1773	

All Participants:

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(2) Mr. Lucas K. Shay, Attorney.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 March 2006

Time: 09:00 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 6, 8 and 11-13

Prior art documents discussed:

Art of record

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Shay agreed to amend claims 1, 6 and 8, to cancel claims 11-13 and add new claim 14 to avoid rejection under 35 USC 112 second paragraph. For details of the agreement see Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)